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Executive summary

1.1 Introduction

ImpaCT2 is one of a number of projects commissioned by the Department for Education and Skills and managed by Becta with the aim of evaluating the progress of the ICT in Schools Programme. It is a major study carried out between 1999 and 2002 involving 60 schools in England and is one of the most comprehensive investigations into the impact of information and communications technology (ICT) on educational attainment so far conducted in the United Kingdom.

ImpaCT2 was designed to:

• identify the impact of networked technologies on the school and out of school environment
• find out the degree to which these networked technologies affect the educational attainments of pupils at Key Stages 2, 3 and 4.

The study involved three related strands:

• Strand 1: to develop and apply appropriate methods for evaluating the use of ICT in school and out of school, and to analyse the statistical relationship between the effective implementation of ICT and standards of performance in National Tests and GCSEs
• Strand 2: to develop and apply a variety of methods to establish how pupils use ICT, in particular out of school, and what is gained from such use
• Strand 3: to explore the nature of teaching and learning involving ICT in various settings, with a focus on the views of pupils, teachers, and parents.

The ImpaCT2 study was jointly carried out by a team of researchers from the University of Nottingham, the Open University, Manchester Metropolitan University and the University of Leicester, and led by Professor Colin Harrison at the University of Nottingham.

This publication reports primarily on the outcomes of Strand 1, but draws on some material from the other strands of the study.

1.2 Summary of key findings from this strand

The aim of this strand of the ImpaCT2 study was to analyse the relationship between the pupils’ use of ICT and their performance in National Tests and GCSEs. In every case except one the study found evidence of a positive relationship between ICT use and achievement. However, in some subjects the effects were not statistically significant and they were not spread evenly across all subjects. Possible reasons for these variations are discussed in this report.

The key findings from this strand of the study are:

• Differences in attainment associated with the greater use of ICT were clearly present in more than a third of all comparisons made between pupils’ expected and actual scores in National Tests or GCSEs, though these were not large.

• In none of the comparisons was there a statistically significant advantage to groups with lower ICT use.

Key Stage 2:

• A statistically significant positive association between ICT and National Tests for English was found at Key Stage 2.
• Positive associations were also found for mathematics at Key Stage 2, although they were not as striking and not statistically significant.

• It is possible on the basis of these findings to estimate that high ICT use at Key Stage 2 in English can help to raise performance by 3.12 National Test marks or 0.16 of a National Curriculum level, and in mathematics by 1.69 marks or 0.061 of a National Curriculum level. This is equivalent to a substantial acceleration in progress through these levels of 16% of two years’ achievement in Key Stage 2 English, and 6.1% of two years’ achievement in Key Stage 2 mathematics.

• The general level of ICT use in Key Stage 2 English is the highest reported for any subject at any key stage in 2001: 61% of the pupils report using ICT in their English lessons at least some weeks (41% report using ICT at home for English at least some weeks). The equivalent figure for lesson use in mathematics is 47% (36% at home), and in science 24% (20% at home).

Key Stage 3:

• A statistically significant positive association between ICT and National Tests for science was found at Key Stage 3, but there were no other clear-cut associations at Key Stage 3.

• It is possible on the basis of this finding to estimate that high ICT use at Key Stage 3 in science can help to
raise performance by the equivalent of 0.214 of a National Curriculum level, and in mathematics by 0.083 of a National Curriculum level. This is equivalent to a substantial acceleration in progress through these levels of 21.4% of two years’ achievement in Key Stage 3 science, and 8.3% of two years’ achievement in Key Stage 3 mathematics.

- Science is the only subject where Key Stage 3 pupils report a higher level of use than at Key Stage 2, with 31% using ICT at least some weeks in lessons in 2001 (30% reported using ICT at home for science at least some weeks). The equivalent figure for lesson use in English is 39% (56% at home), and in mathematics 33% (29% at home).

Key Stage 4:

- At Key Stage 4, there was a statistically significant positive association between ICT and GCSE science and in GCSE design and technology.

- It is possible on the basis of these findings to estimate that high ICT use at Key Stage 4 in science can help to raise performance by the equivalent of 0.56 of a GCSE grade, and in design and technology by the equivalent of 0.41 of a GCSE grade.

- There were also strong indications of a positive association in GCSE modern foreign languages (MFL) at Key Stage 4, and some indications of a positive association in GCSE geography, although neither reached statistical significance.

- It is possible on the basis of these findings to estimate that high ICT use in modern foreign languages can help to raise performance by the equivalent of 0.82 of a GCSE grade, and in geography by the equivalent of 0.37 of a GCSE grade.

- At Key Stage 4 pupil usage in lessons in 2001 was relatively low: in science 30% of pupils reported using ICT at least some weeks; the equivalent figure in English was 29% and in mathematics 18%. Usage was generally highest at home: in science 38% of pupils reported using ICT at least some weeks; in English this was over 50%, in mathematics it was 12%. In design and technology 59% of pupils reported using ICT in lessons at least some weeks (51% at home). The equivalent figure for modern foreign languages was 28% in lessons (20% at home), and in geography 26% in lessons (45% at home).

It should be emphasised that:

- The proportion of lessons involving ICT was generally low over the period concerned. This is likely to rise as teachers gain in knowledge and experience, as equipment is made available in more classrooms and as there are improvements in the variety of software available, both on the Internet and on CD-ROM.

- There is no consistent relationship between the average amount of ICT use reported for any subject at a given key stage and its apparent effectiveness in raising standards. It therefore seems likely that the type of use is all important.

- The schools involved in the ImpaCT2 study do not necessarily form a representative sample of schools in England. An explanation of the methods used for this part of the study, and a description of the schools involved, can be found in the appendix to this report and in the full report (forthcoming).
Part 1 – Introduction

Section 2 – Context

2.1 The ICT in Schools Programme
The ICT in Schools Programme is the Government’s key initiative to stimulate and support the use of information and communications technology (ICT) to improve standards and to encourage new ways of teaching and learning.

Schools have come a long way in recent years but are still at different stages of integrating ICT into everyday practice. Many are well down this road, others less so whilst still making progress. Meanwhile, the educational potential and the accessibility of new technologies in schools and at home continue to grow.

Since 1998, when the Government published its proposals to develop a National Grid for Learning (NGfL), schools and other institutions have made considerable progress in their use of ICT to support teaching and learning and to improve the efficiency of school management.

The intervening period has also witnessed significant advances in the range of technologies and applications available to the education and home markets and in the growth of access to ICT outside school. There is every sign that these trends are set to continue.

This progress reflects tremendous vision, initiative and commitment at all levels of the education sector and has been achieved within the context of the programme. This has been accompanied by unprecedented levels of Government investment and is underpinned by five challenging targets.

The NGfL Targets for 2002 are:

- Connecting all schools, colleges, universities, public libraries and as many community centres as possible to the Grid (via the Internet).
- Ensuring that serving teachers feel confident and competent to teach using ICT within the curriculum, and that librarians are similarly trained.
- Enabling school leavers to have a good understanding of ICT, with measures in place for assessing their competence in it.
- Ensuring that general administrative communications between education bodies and Government cease to be largely paper based.
- Making Britain a centre of excellence in the development of networked software content, and a world leader in the export of learning services.

However, while progress towards these goals has been significant and can rightly be celebrated, it is only the beginning of an ongoing transformation that over time will deliver exciting new opportunities for individuals to personalise their learning and realise their potential in school, at home and in the community. These opportunities will become a reality as ICT becomes firmly embedded in all aspects of school life rather than as an ‘optional extra’.

A vision for the future of ICT in schools is provided in the paper Transforming the Way We Learn, available at www.dfes.gov.uk/ictfutures.

2.2. ImpaCT2
ImpaCT2 is one of a number of projects commissioned by the Department for Education and Skills and managed by Becta, with the aim of evaluating the progress of this programme. It is a major study carried out between 1999 and 2002 involving 60 schools in England and is one of the most comprehensive investigations into the impact of information and communications technology (ICT) on educational attainment so far conducted in the UK.

The observations made as part of the study took place during the early-mid period of the ICT in Schools Programme, during which the nature of ICT in schools, in terms of both provision and practice, has been developing. This publication is intended to present the key findings from the first strand of the ImpaCT2 study for a broad audience, including teachers and parents and all others interested in school-age education.

2.3 Objectives of the study
ImpaCT2 was designed to:

- identify the impact of networked technologies on the school and out of school environment

---

3 Open for Learning, Open for Business – the NGfL Challenge (DfEE, 1998).
4 Transforming the Way We Learn (DfES, 2002: www.dfes.gov.uk/ictfutures).
• find out the degree to which these networked
technologies affect the educational attainments of
pupils at Key Stages 2, 3 and 4.

The study has taken place against a background of the
developing nature of technology. Most obviously, it was
important that the study took full account of the difference
between networked ICT and computer-based learning as it
existed prior to the recent expansion of the Internet and its
penetration into schools and homes. It was also anticipated
that the impact of ICT on curriculum learning would depend
not merely on what went on in the classroom, but would be
a result of many other factors. These include the use of ICT
outside school, and especially in the home, as well as its
use in school outside lesson time.

Consequently the study was extended to cover all of these
areas, and sought to address the following questions:

1. What is the involvement of pupils with computers and
the Internet at home and in school?
2. Does curriculum use of ICT have an effect on pupil
performance and attitude?
3. Are these effects confined to use in school?
4. Are all kinds of computer use equally helpful to learning?
5. If ICT-based learning involves interactions between
home and school, what are the problems that arise
and how can these be resolved?

2.4 Organisation of the study

The study involved three related strands:

• Strand 1: to develop and apply appropriate methods
for evaluating the use of ICT in school and out of
school, and to analyse the statistical relationship
between the effective implementation of ICT and
standards of performance in National Tests and GCSEs.

• Strand 2: to develop and apply a variety of methods to
establish how pupils use ICT, in particular out of
school, and what is gained from such use.

• Strand 3: to explore the nature of teaching and learning
involving ICT in various settings, with a focus on the
views of pupils, teachers, parents and managers.

Strands 1 and 2 were combined within a single project to
be run by a team of researchers from three universities
under the general direction of Professor Colin Harrison at
the University of Nottingham. Strand 3 was a separate
project carried out from the University of Leicester under
the direction of Dr Chris Comber, and involved 15 of the
60 schools that had been selected for Strands 1 and 2.
This publication reports primarily on the outcomes of
Strand 1, but draws on some material from the other
strands of the study.

This strand of the ImpaCT2 study analysed the
relationship between the effective implementation of ICT
and performance in National Tests at Key Stages 2 and 3
and GCSEs at Key Stage 4. To achieve this aim, samples
of about 20 children were selected in each school at
primary level (Key Stage 2, Year 5) and at secondary
level (Key Stages 3 and 4, Years 8 and 10), across the
ability range for the school as a whole, yielding a total of
about 700 pupils for each of the three key stages.

A brief explanation of the methods used in the study can
be found below. A more detailed explanation can be
found in Appendix 1 of this report, and in the full report
on the ImpaCT2 findings (forthcoming). The ImpaCT2
Preliminary Reports also provide a further rationale for
the methods involved in the study.

A glossary of terms can be found in Appendix 2.

Section 3 – The Approach taken in
Strand 1

3.1 Patterns of use

The degree to which ICT affects pupils’ learning will clearly
depend on the extent to which it is used and how this varies
between subjects. Also, if the general level of use of ICT in a
subject is low, there will be less data available on which to
make comparisons and this will affect the statistical
significance of any findings. (A note on statistical significance
can be found in Appendix 1). In addition, the degree to which
the effects observed are a result of home or school use will
depend on the relative respective levels of use. The first aim
of this strand of the study was to establish the pattern of
pupils’ use of ICT in each subject at each key stage.

The study’s assessment of the amount of ICT use by
pupils in each sub-sample (key stage, school and

More information regarding key stages, National Curriculum levels and National Tests can be found in the DfES publication series Learning Journey; the National Curriculum on-line web site (www.nc.uk.net) and on the DfES Parents web site (www.dfes.gov.uk/parents).
subject area) was derived from the pupils’ answers to one simple question (itself part of a larger questionnaire): “How often have you used the computer for school work during the last year [2000-2001] in [English/Mathematics/Science/…]?” The question was repeated for each relevant subject to take account of variation in computer usage in different subject areas. Answers to these questions were given on a 5-point scale from “Never” to “Most weeks”. Further discrimination was achieved by repeating the question specifying three locations of use:

1. during lesson time
2. outside lesson time but within school, and
3. outside school including home use.

The reliability of the pupils’ responses was checked, through follow-up interviews with pupils during a pilot phase, by comparing their responses with data drawn from logs kept by the pupils and teachers involved in the study, and data gathered by the independent researchers.

Alongside gathering data on pupils’ general use of ICT to support their learning, the study aimed to determine the extent to which use of the Internet in particular is becoming established. At this stage, what is being reported is whether or not the pupils had used the Internet, rather than data on the level of use. Given the rapid increase in schools’ levels of connectivity throughout the period of the study, the analysis that follows is inevitably based on a ‘snapshot’ in time, rather than an overview of embedded practice.

3.2 Identifying the impact on attainment

In order to capture the relationship, if any, between the use of ICT and performance in National Tests and GCSEs, the achievement of the 700 pupils at each of Key Stages 2, 3 and 4 was predicted using ‘baseline’ data, and then their actual results analysed. Further explanation about how this was done is included in Appendix 1, and in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).

Having established the relative frequency and context of use in each subject, the study explored the relationships between the use of ICT and performance in National Tests and GCSEs. These relationships were explored by comparing each pupil’s actual achievement with his or her predicted achievement, derived from ‘baseline’ scores of proven reliability and validity provided by Durham University. These scores were calculated from tests that the pupils had undergone approximately eighteen months earlier (during 1999-2000). This comparison produced a relative gain score for each pupil, which is zero if the pupil did as predicted, positive if the pupil did better than expected, and negative if worse.

Relative gain scores could then be set against a variety of indicators of ICT, in order to capture the relationship between the use of ICT and performance in National Tests, so answering the question: ‘If a pupil did better or worse than was expected, how did this relate to that pupil’s level of use of ICT?’

This method of comparing pupils’ outcomes and relating them to their use of ICT overcomes the problems posed by other differences between schools in the sample (such as variations in their catchment area and in the opportunities afforded by the home and neighbourhood). This report is based on comparisons of relative gain scores between groups of schools and of pupils based on their ICT provision and experience.

Respondents were grouped into categories of high and low ICT users based on their level of use of ICT in a particular subject. The mean relative gain scores in each National Test and GCSE for these two groups were then compared.

The mean relative gain scores across the three key stages included in this study have been standardised, for the purposes of easier analysis by the reader of the varying impact of ICT use across the key stages.

Further explanation about the process of producing the mean relative gain scores is included in Appendix 1, and in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).

3.3 Presenting the data

In this report, four kinds of graphs and table are used to provide an overview of the apparent effects of higher or lower ICT experience for each of the 13 combinations of key stage and subject area yielded by this study. These are, in the order in which they appear in the sections for each key stage below:

- A table showing the average amount of ICT activity reported by the pupils for the relevant subject in each of the three settings: class, school and home. These allow the reader to note the amount of ICT experience and to compare the relative prominence of the three settings.
• A graph showing the percentage of pupils reporting having used the Internet for work in the various subject areas.

• A graph comparing the mean relative gain achieved by the high ICT group and the low ICT group for each subject.

• A graph designed to show the association between mean relative gain and mean ICT experience levels for each subject, at each key stage by individual school.

3.4 Links to other strands of the study

Material gathered from other strands of the study (such as interviews with pupils and teachers and pupils’ and teachers’ log books) is also included where this provides insights into the relationships between pupils’ use of ICT and attainment suggested by the data. Key points relating to issues of teaching and learning are identified on the basis of teachers’ comments.

Note that where respondents refer to commercial products, brand names have been replaced by generic descriptions.
Part 2 – Impact of ICT at Key Stage 2

Section 4 – Patterns of use of ICT in English, Mathematics and Science at Key Stage 2

This section analyses pupils’ responses to the questions identifying how often and where they used ICT to support their learning in English, mathematics and science. The findings set out below are in line with earlier findings based on evidence from weekly logs of ICT activity, and interviews with other pupils conducted by Pupil Researchers with their peers.

Table 4.1 below shows the average amount of ICT activity reported by pupils for English, mathematics and science at Key Stage 2, in each of the three settings: class, school and home. These allow the reader to note the amount of ICT experience and to compare the relative prominence of the three settings.

Table 4.1: Frequency of use in core subjects at Key Stage 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never %</th>
<th>Hardly ever %</th>
<th>Some weeks %</th>
<th>Most weeks %</th>
<th>Every week %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>10.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>38.72</td>
<td>35.70</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>27.96</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>37.35</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>42.91</td>
<td>34.22</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>39.30</td>
<td>24.56</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson</td>
<td>31.46</td>
<td>44.29</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>63.75</td>
<td>25.54</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>52.59</td>
<td>27.37</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001

The particular findings for each subject are discussed as follows.

4.1 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 2 English

The general level of use of ICT in Key Stage 2 English is the highest reported for any subject at any key stage: 61% of the pupils report using ICT in their English lessons some weeks or more often and 10% use ICT every week. High levels of home use are also reported, with 41% of pupils using ICT at home to support their English work some weeks or more often. This finding has a significant bearing on the discussion of the impact of pupils’ ICT use on attainment which follows. Clearly, any effects identified are likely to be as much a result of home use as of use in lessons. Use in school time outside English lessons is less frequent, with 75% using ICT hardly ever or never for studying English.

4.2 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 2 mathematics

The results for Key Stage 2 mathematics indicate a different pattern of use. The general level of use of ICT in mathematics lessons is lower than in English lessons, with over half the sample (52%) reporting never or hardly ever using ICT in their mathematics lessons. This may reflect primary teachers well-documented higher level of confidence in English than in mathematics or the more general applicability of specific software (such as word processing) in English. 75% of Key Stage 2 pupils in the sample report never or hardly ever using ICT to support their learning of mathematics in school outside mathematics lessons.

Home use of ICT in mathematics is less than for English, with 39% never using ICT at home for mathematics. This may indicate that the software used in mathematics (including educational software and applications such as spreadsheets) is used less at home. The general lower level of use in mathematics may lead to any observed effects being less marked than in English.

---

7 Evidence from the recent Ofsted reports shows that the use of ICT in Literacy and Numeracy has improved, however:

There is an imbalance in the use of ICT resources across the core curriculum. Apart from designated ICT lessons, usually in computer suites, the application of ICT is more common for literacy-based activities than for numeracy. Although mathematics quite often features in ICT work on graphs, data analysis or spreadsheets, this is not directly linked to specific mathematics objectives. Teachers are more comfortable teaching ICT skills to pupils and using literacy and numeracy work to practise and improve these skills rather than applying ICT skills to meet literacy or numeracy objectives... However, teachers are much less clear when and when not to use ICT to support other subjects of the curriculum. Where teachers have good subject knowledge, and there is clear subject leadership and guidance, they are more able to decide on the appropriate use of ICT... Nevertheless, the application of ICT across the curriculum remains an uncertain area for many schools.

4.3 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 2 science

The results for Key Stage 2 science follow a similar pattern to those for mathematics but with a few key differences. The level of use of ICT in science is lower than for English and mathematics, with 76% reporting never or hardly ever using ICT in their science lessons, 89% never or hardly ever using ICT for science-related activity elsewhere at school, and 80% never or hardly ever using it to study science at home. These differences in the relative extent of use will clearly have a bearing on the potential for ICT to affect pupils’ achievement.

4.4 Internet use by subject area at Key Stage 2

Figure 4.4 identifies the percentage of pupils who stated they had used the Internet in English, mathematics and science, in the home, the subject lessons, and the school in general. At this stage, what is being reported is whether or not the pupils had used the Internet, rather than data on the level of use. Given the rapid increase in schools’ levels of connectivity throughout the period of the study, the analysis that follows is inevitably based on a ‘snapshot’ in time, rather than an overview of embedded practice. However, some positive messages are beginning to emerge.

While the general level of regular use of ICT to support teaching and learning is low, there was evidence from pupils’ responses that use of the Internet in lessons is becoming established. In Key Stage 2, Internet use was more frequent in subject lessons than it was at home. Again, use is more frequent in English lessons, with over 54% of respondents stating they had used the Internet. Almost 50% had used it in mathematics lessons, whilst 36% had used it in science. Internet use in all subjects was further supplemented by subject use on the school premises that did not occur in the specific subject lessons. Some 20% of respondents stated they used it for science, 26% for mathematics and 31% for English.

‘A lot use it for homework… for research… they seem to put more effort into it [than with traditional media]… but there are problems where some children download a lot of material without editing or reviewing it, but, on their last topic [an ecological report] the ones with ICT at home were better on presentation of their materials, and produced more [relevant] information.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School S

Interviews with teachers carried out as part of the other strands of the study indicated that teachers were convinced of the potential of the Internet but, as with other aspects of ICT, not all were clear about how to develop its effective use or how to integrate it fully into learning activities. Observations of lessons in schools in Strand 3 suggest that where schools have introduced...
pupils to effective search/research strategies, exploring the Web at home is likely to be more productive.

Teachers also often recommended educationally sound web sites for pupils to visit, and downloaded relevant pages onto the school intranet.

Home Internet use for all three subjects was also notable. Some 37% of pupils had used the Internet at home for English, 35% for mathematics and 28% for science. The significant use of ICT and the Internet for subject-specific purposes in the home may suggest that ICT can facilitate the extension of learning in the school to the home environment and vice versa.

The Internet can be used for a variety of purposes, including searching the World Wide Web for information, communicating with peers and others through e-mail and on-line chat, and publishing material for others to see. There is evidence that pupils, teachers and schools are beginning to embrace some of these applications. For example, 21% of the Key Stage 2 pupils have used e-mail to support their mathematics learning at home; 19% have used e-mail at home to help them with their English work. However, some uses of the Internet are much rarer. The use of video conferencing, for example, remains in its infancy with 1% of pupils reporting using it in English and similar levels of use in mathematics and science. It must be stressed, however, that these figures represent a particular point in time, and they will rapidly become out-of-date.

Section 5 – Relative gain for high ICT users versus low ICT users in English, Mathematics and Science at Key Stage 2

This section begins by exploring the general relationship between pupils’ use of ICT and their performance in the Key Stage 2 tests. As described in the introduction, the relationship explored is that between pupils’ level of use of ICT in English, mathematics and science, and their relative gain scores in each subject, that is, how their actual performance compared with their predicted performance. The following chart (Figure 5.1) shows how the relative gain scores of the group of pupils characterised as high ICT users compared with low ICT users in each of the three subjects.

As Figure 5.1 illustrates, pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users in English and mathematics (the height of the bars for each subject for each group shows the extent of the gain). The numbers on the scale on the left of the graph relate to the average advantage gained by each group, that is, the average difference between what the pupils were expected to achieve and what they actually did achieve in National Tests at Key Stage 2.

These differences are expressed in ‘standard deviations’, a statistical term for the average difference from the mean (average) for a group of results. A relative gain score of one would signify that the average result achieved by the pupils involved in the ImpaCT2 study in a particular subject and key stage was one standard deviation higher than their expected average result.

In Figure 5.1, the most powerful impact of ICT use can be seen to be in English – a figure of 0.2. This actually represents a statistically significant (and positive) impact for high ICT use in English. Statistical significance is a way of measuring how certain we can be regarding a particular finding. All results obtained by statistical
methods are open to the possibility that they might be the result of ‘statistical accident’. Statistical significance is determined by the probability that this accident has not happened. A result is often said to be statistically significant when it would occur less than 5 per cent of the time as a result of accident. So in this case, we can be fairly certain regarding the finding of a positive impact of high ICT use in English. (A further note on statistical significance can be found in Appendix 1).

The sample pupils were divided into three groups (high, medium and low) based on their initial achievement scores. By comparing gain scores with initial achievement it was possible to confirm that the advantage of high ICT use in English was apparent for all three groups.

In mathematics, there is a positive association but it is not statistically significant. (Again, this does not include use of the Internet). It should be noted that not reaching statistical significance does not mean that a result is unimportant or uninteresting.

Further, as expected, there are gender effects. These are significant for all core subjects (girls performing better at English, boys performing better at mathematics and science).

It may be thought that the lack of statistical significance implies that pupils’ use of ICT in mathematics and science has no effect on their performance in those subjects. However, a number of points are worth considering before adopting that view. A wide variety of practices were identified in the schools in the sample. Evidence from lesson observations pointed to a variety of approaches to integrating ICT within lessons. Section 5.2 begins to explore these differences at an individual school level. Key messages about effective practice are identified on the basis of participating teachers’ views.

The mean relative gain scores across the three key stages included in this study have been standardised, for the purposes of easier analysis by the reader of the varying impact of ICT use across the key stages. This means that it is possible to look at the other graphs of this type in the report, for Key Stage 3 and Key Stage 4, and compare the relative gain scores at different key stages and subjects. This is why this is the preferred method of presenting the findings.

While the use of relative gain scores seeks to create a ‘level playing field’ by comparing pupils’ achieved results with their predicted results (rather than by comparing pupil with pupil) it remains the case that some pupils will make more progress than others. Some of this may be due to ICT or other educational effects.

Key findings at Key Stage 2

- At Key Stage 2, pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users in English and mathematics.
- In Key Stage 2 English this effect was statistically significant, in mathematics it was not.
- Differences in performance between low and high ICT users in science at Key Stage 2 were marginal and far from statistical significance.

5.1 Relative gain at Key Stage 2 in National Test marks and National Curriculum levels

It is also possible to provide a further interpretation of the relative gain scores by translating them into National Test marks and National Curriculum levels for each subject. National Curriculum levels measure children’s progress in each subject. Broadly, one level is thought to relate to around two years in a pupil’s development, that is, they are expected to progress by the order of 0.5 of a level per year. This way of presenting relative gains provides estimates of the actual marks associated with the performance of high and low ICT groups after taking into account differences in their initial achievement levels at Key Stage 2.

In Tables 5.1 and 5.2 the mean relative gain scores at Key Stage 2 for high ICT users and low ICT users are expressed in terms of their mark and level equivalents respectively. In other words, this is what would happen if the mean relative gains for each group (high and low ICT users) in each subject

---

8 Pupils were allocated to one of two groups, ‘High ICT’ or ‘Low ICT’ according to whether the extent of their ICT usage fell above or below a cut-off point based on the median score for that subject at that key stage. Further explanation of the methods used in the ImpaCT2 study can be found in Appendix 1 and in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).
were translated directly into gains in marks and levels in Key Stage 2 National Tests. This can help to express the impact of greater ICT use. Note however that these can only represent approximations, because the number of marks separating levels varies from level to level, and because the clustering of marks can vary from subject to subject.

Table 5.1: Mean relative gain in mark equivalents at Key Stage 2 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>High ICT</th>
<th>Low ICT</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2: Mean relative gain in level equivalents at Key Stage 2 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>High ICT</th>
<th>Low ICT</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At Key Stage 2 in English, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 3.12 marks or 0.16 of a level.

At Key Stage 2 in mathematics, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 1.69 marks or 0.061 of a level.

At Key Stage 2 in science, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.17 marks or 0.009 of a level. In this case the effect is negative, but negligible.

Given that one level is thought to relate to around two years in a pupil’s development, a gain of 0.10 represents 10% of two years’ achievement, or 20% of one year’s achievement.

If the mean relative gains identified earlier were translated directly into progress through the National Curriculum levels, high ICT use in Key Stage 2 English in particular can be seen to support a substantial acceleration in progress through these levels equivalent to 16% of two years’ achievement.

In Key Stage 2 mathematics the acceleration in progress is equivalent to 6.1% of two years’ achievement.

Figure 5.2 illustrates the level equivalents in graphical form.

Figure 5.2: Mean relative gain in level equivalents at Key Stage 2 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

It is important to note, however, that the preferred way of analysing the impact of high ICT use remains the one presented at the start of section 5 – the graph of relative gain scores. This is because the relative gain data has been standardised, and so allows for comparison between the various key stages and subjects included in this study.

5.2 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 2 English

As described in the introduction, the graph below (Figure 5.3) is designed to show the association between mean relative gain and mean ICT experience levels for each subject, at each key stage by individual school – in this case for Key Stage 2 English.

In this type of graph the schools that contributed data have been ranged along the horizontal axis from the lowest average ICT score for use of ICT in the subject (left of each graph) to the highest (right of each graph). The vertical scale shows mean relative gain scores.

More information regarding key stages, National Curriculum levels and National Tests can be found in the DfES publication series Learning Journey, the National Curriculum online web site (www.nc.uk.net) and on the DfES Parents web site (www.dfes.gov.uk/parents).
Each school contributes a single column, the height of which corresponds to the mean relative gain for that school in the given subject. Each school in the sample is represented by a single letter (or a double letter for secondary schools in later graphs of this type). The letter used gives an indication of the level of ICT use. In the graph below, school A reported the highest level of ICT use in English, school X reported the lowest.

In an ideal world, in order to establish a highly significant association between the level of ICT use and attainment as measured by National Tests or GCSEs, the pattern of bars in this type of graph would start on the left showing high negative mean gain scores, that is, pointing downwards. As you move to the right, the bars would decline to zero at about half-way along, and then show an increasing positive set of scores for the schools with increasingly higher use of ICT, that is, they would point upwards. So if there were a perfect positive association between ICT use and relative gain, the resulting graph would resemble a ‘staircase’ ascending from left to right. Where the association is strongest, there would be a concentration of higher columns on the right and lower columns on the left. Exceptions to this would suggest that other influences were outweighing any impact of ICT. If the association is low, the staircase effect will be virtually absent.

Clearly, in the real world such perfect patterns do not exist. However, if the association between use of ICT and attainment is to be demonstrated, it is reasonable to expect a significantly higher proportion of positive gain scores above the mid-point of zero on the vertical scale to the right-hand side of the graph, and more negative scores on the left-hand side.

As can be seen, in general:

• the pattern follows the alphabet, indicating that the level of pupils’ use of ICT to learn English is in line with the overall level of ICT use in the schools.

• the statistically significant positive association between pupils’ level of use of ICT in English and their performance in the National Test is reflected in the graph with schools to the right of the graph (that is those where pupils used ICT more often in English) more often exhibiting higher mean relative gain scores.

However, a number of schools do not follow this general trend. For example, while schools R and L have similar levels of ICT use, they have achieved widely differing mean gain scores. These individual differences may reflect differences in factors such as the quality of the ICT use and the general quality of teaching, or may be

---

Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
simple statistical anomalies. Similarly the mean gain score in school W is higher than those with similar levels of ICT use and that of school T is lower.

Interestingly, school T’s position towards the right of the graph (placing it earlier in the alphabetical list) indicates that pupils use ICT in English more often in this school than might be expected from the overall level of ICT in the school, that is the relatively high use of ICT in English by pupils in school T is against a backdrop of a low level of use throughout the school. A possible explanation for school T’s ‘anomalous’ position is that it may be the totality of a pupil’s experiences that influences achievement, rather than isolated use in a particular subject. Clearly, given the small number of schools under discussion, it would be problematic to do more than offer these observations as worthy of further investigation.

Further analysis of the nature of the ICT use may point to the factors influencing effective use from which others may learn. Further publications in this series will examine the factors that may underlie these differences. Material drawn from interviews carried out as part of the work in the other strands provides insights into how schools in the sample are using ICT in English.

5.3 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 2 English

The motivating effect of ICT was a common factor in teachers’ comments, and while some saw this as an end in itself (inasmuch as it captivated students who were previously hard to engage), it was most often linked to talk of a shift in the attitude of pupils and a greater involvement in learning activities. The following example is taken from the Literacy Co-ordinator at school C, a school where pupils are using ICT in their English and achieving high relative gain scores.

“...the children... are completely committed to doing that work, finishing that task, you can certainly see the motivation. They will all want to go on the computer and the work they produce is far superior, and not just in terms of presentation... they have more time to consider the consequences of what they are learning.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, Literacy co-ordinator school C

The following illustration is taken from school B, a school where pupils are using ICT in English and achieving high relative gain scores.

“...Year 5..., during their Literacy lessons, would have completed a range of tasks throughout the year using a word processing package, publishing packages in either [word software] or [publishing software]. Internet sites for research and have experience of importing pictures from clip art.

[Children] were involved in researching, compiling and producing an information book for our environmental area. The skills developed during this project included taking digital photographs, importing them into a word document, wrapping the text around the picture, word art, word processing the information researched, glossary, bibliography, acknowledgements, mapping the area.”

Teacher researcher, School B

One school was using the QCA guidelines and finding opportunities to integrate English with the teaching of skills in presentation software and Internet use; two were using ICT “for revision”; one said the main focus was on word processing and another that there had been some use with pupils of the NOF (New Opportunities Fund) training materials. Only three schools said they were not using ICT at all for teaching English. However, when asked to assess the impact of ICT on pupils’ attainment in English, only one teacher (in school I, a school achieving positive gain scores with relatively high use of ICT in English) gave an unqualified, positive response:

“Software for spelling has increased attainment in my opinion. Again, emphasis on games and fun encourages children to be challenged to learn spelling strategies and to a less extent, story writing and grammar.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School I

Two other teachers felt that the impact of ICT on attainment in English was limited for specific reasons: the
first because successful use depended upon the pupils’ reading and literacy skills; the second because the computer-based materials were not of sufficient quality.

**Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 2 English**

Teachers in schools where pupils used ICT in English and achieved higher mean gain scores identified the following key factors in relation to the use of ICT in English at Key Stage 2:

- Increased motivation and greater involvement in learning
- Higher quality outcomes encouraging greater commitment to writing tasks
- Relevant software making the learning of key skills (such as spelling) fun
- Increased time for reflection
- Use of ICT to support research skills
- Use of ICT to develop materials incorporating text and graphics

**5.4 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 2 mathematics**

Figure 5.4 (below) is designed to show the association between mean relative gain and mean ICT experience levels – in this case for Key Stage 2 mathematics. As with the graph for English (Figure 5.3, above), in this graph the schools have been ranged along the horizontal axis from the lowest average ICT score for use of ICT in mathematics (left of the graph) to the highest (right of the graph). The vertical scale shows mean relative gain scores. Each school contributes a single column, the height of which corresponds to the mean relative gain for that school in mathematics at Key Stage 2.

The graph for mathematics shows a smaller trend than that for English, indicating that any ‘ICT effect’ is less well marked. Many of the observations made about the graph for Key Stage 2 English apply here. Again a number of schools (such as schools Y, P and F) clearly do not follow any underlying trend, and these are worthy of further study. School P’s position on the graph is out of line with its alphabetical position, being too far to the right. This indicates that the level of pupils’ use of ICT in mathematics is relatively higher than the overall pattern of ICT use in the school. The variation in mean gain scores for high ICT schools appears to be greater than for low ICT schools, with the exception of school Y. While this may be simply the result of random statistical variation, it may point to differences in practice within high ICT schools. These will be explored further in later reports.

**5.5 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 2 mathematics**

The following illustration is taken from school B, a school where pupils were using ICT in their mathematics and achieving high relative gain scores.

“*In numeracy, the computers were used in a supportive way to reinforce learning for the lower attainers through number games. Computers were also used to compile databases through [spreadsheet] software and produce graphs from the [gathered] information …[including] mapping the area.*”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School B

The next response is from a school using ICT, but not achieving high mean relative gain scores in mathematics. This teacher felt that the use of ICT was having a positive impact on pupils’ learning of mathematics.

“*The children have used the computers in maths lessons throughout the term. With, normally, two computers in the classroom for each lesson, the ICT component …had to reflect the main objectives of the lesson [Numeracy Strategy hour]. This has been achieved by two different applications. First, throughout the term, [mathematics software] has been used. The catalogue presentation of the program allows children to select the activity most closely linked to the lesson objective. The program has an extensive range of activities and usually, this allows...***
a very close link to occur. Secondly, the children have used [a revision site]. Children have used this program extensively during March/April, in particular when they have had access to the seven wireless-networked laptops. This program does not allow a very close link to classroom activity but is structured in themes which the children can select and then test themselves against particular maths aspects. This self-test proved very popular and gave a good, immediate feedback to the children of their performance.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School E

Overall, however, very little use was being made of ICT to directly support mathematics teaching in the ImpaCT2 sample of schools. Observations of ICT-focused sessions confirmed that even with the best-appointed ICT rooms and the most carefully planned lessons, monitoring the activities of twenty or more simultaneous users could be problematic for many teachers. Back in the classroom, where the number of machines was more limited, there could be a different problem: while there were fewer computer users to ‘keep an eye on’, the teacher had to organise activities for the remainder of the class who were not using ICT:

“I value ICT and I think it’s very important, but it’s almost like a fringe activity sometimes… when you’ve got the other twenty or so others doing something else… it’s not always easy to home in.

As soon as the others are up and running, I can go back to the ICT, but it’s hit and miss, and there’s no telling whether I get to see all the children that are working.”

Key Stage 2 teacher/numeracy co-ordinator, School M

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 2 mathematics

Any conclusions for Key Stage 2 mathematics must be more tentative than those for English because of the lower level of ICT use and lack of statistical significance. However, on the basis of the data gathered a number of key factors may be identified in relation to the use of ICT in mathematics at Key Stage 2:

• The use of number games to reinforce number skills with low attainers
• Use of standard packages for data handling
• Linking mathematics teaching to real-world applications
• Use of interactive software applications for self-testing and immediate feedback
5.6 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 2 science

Figure 5.5 completes the set of graphs illustrating the relationship between for ICT use and attainment on a school-by-school basis for the core subjects at Key Stage 2. As with the graphs for English and mathematics, the schools have been ranged along the horizontal axis from the lowest average ICT score for use of ICT in science (left of the graph) to the highest (right of the graph). The vertical scale shows mean relative gain scores. Each school contributes a single column, the height of which corresponds to the mean relative gain for that school in science at Key Stage 2.

The results in science reveal a mixed picture without any clear trend. Similar comments apply to those already stated for English and mathematics. In addition, comparisons of the results for English, mathematics and science reveal some interesting observations. Firstly, school L is out of step with any possible underlying trends in all three subjects, consistently achieving lower mean gain scores than those with similar levels of use. Similarly, school R achieves consistently higher mean gain scores than those with similar levels of use. Again, while these may reflect statistical anomalies, they (and other schools exhibiting similar patterns) require further analysis of the nature of any ICT use in those schools and other contextual factors.

5.7 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 2 science

Although use of ICT in science teaching in the schools in the ImpaCT2 sample was not extensive, it was highly focused on supporting pupils in areas of conceptual difficulty which related directly to areas covered in national testing. As the relationship between ICT use and attainment was the least marked in science, failing to reach statistical significance, the examples quoted below are presented as tentative suggestions of how ICT supports learning in science.

When asked if ICT was having an impact on Key Stage 2 attainment in science in their schools, some teachers were explicit about the ways in which ICT could make a direct contribution to pupils’ knowledge and conceptual understanding. The following example is drawn from school V, where the use of ICT by pupils is low, but which achieved a positive mean relative gain score. The teacher in that school felt there was a particular value in using ICT in science teaching because of the disciplinary links between ICT and science.

Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
The activity required pupils to search for information related to specific areas for their work on the human body (life processes and living things). Ultimately, I wanted the children to be able to identify the internal structure of the ear and how it decodes the sound waves. ICT was used to support the activity because I felt that the children could utilise a wider variety of information areas than were available in the form of books, videos and magazines. It would also allow the children to make decisions about what information was relevant to the work they were doing and their specific research. Although the children had a 3D model of an ear in school for hands-on experience, a site that had a 3D image of the inner ear particularly interested them. It gave them the opportunity to look from an alternative perspective.

Amazingly, the activity not only furthered knowledge in the science studies but ICT skills also improved. Children were careful to evaluate material, liking the pictorial representation, but disliking the text because it was inappropriate for their age group. They wanted to change this, so needed support in order to download web pages into word processing or graphics packages in order to amend text or illustration. This became a giant leap in computer capability for some children.

Key Stage 2 teacher, School V

A teacher in a school where pupils used ICT more often, and which achieved positive mean relative gain scores, linked any increase in attainment to the use of sensors and the production of tables and graphs:

“In Science lessons pupils use sensor equipment such as [data capture equipment] to monitor temperature, light etc. [Data capture equipment] is attached to a laptop. Tables and graphs are plotted on the laptop. Pupils are encouraged to interpret these tables and graphs. Many Science [National Test] questions involve interpreting graphs, which have been drawn as if the results had been entered on a computer. Therefore previous pupil knowledge and experience of ICT in science is important in the outcome of KS2 [Key Stage 2 National Tests].”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School N

Two cited the ability to manipulate data so that changes were modelled visually and had a visual impact on the user:

“...Very beneficial because the interactive nature of CD-ROMs means that difficult concepts can be explained and if schools have limited resources, the children can observe practical investigation taking place instead of just reading about it. The Internet can be also used to demonstrate practical investigations. Some software allows children to test their ideas and their outcome and change variables in the activity. Any gaps in the science curriculum are easy to fill like this or it's possible to revisit previous concepts – children enjoy this type of presentation.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School U

“ICT helps in science because of the visual images, which give a child greater understanding. More able [pupils] can access information much quicker and know where to look.”

Key Stage 2 teacher, School S

Another teacher mentioned the use of interactive CD-ROMs and once again data-handling software, and three others cited the value of being able to access encyclopaedias and other on-line information resources.

It would be useful to investigate further a number of the schools that stand out in the above figures – both those that appear to represent a link between high ICT use and relative gain in attainment, and those which do not. More specific investigation of the particular practices and uses of ICT in these schools would be required in order to gain a greater understanding of how the use of ICT in the classroom relates to particular aspects of attainment and achievement.

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 2 science

Any conclusions for Key Stage 2 science must be more tentative than those for English because of the lower level of ICT use and lack of statistical significance. However, on the basis of the data gathered a number of key factors may be identified related to the use of ICT in science at Key Stage 2.
• The use of simulations and visual models for enhancing understanding
• Exploring effects and testing ideas by varying data in computer models
• Researching and revising scientific topics independently using on-line resources and CD-ROMs
• The use of data logging to further understanding of graphs and interpreting data

5.8 Impacts in other subjects

At Key Stage 2, the study of impacts on attainment focused on the core subjects. There appears to be some pattern emerging around the level of usage and impact in each subject. This may imply that factors surrounding the ease with which ICT can be utilised and applied effectively into specific subjects may be significant in mediating the impact on the quality of teaching and learning. Results from Key Stages 3 and 4 shed further light on such views.
Part 3 – Impact of ICT at Key Stage 3

Section 6 – Patterns of use of ICT in English, mathematics and science at Key Stage 3

Pupils’ responses to the questions identifying how often and where they used ICT in English, mathematics and science are summarised in the following table.

Table 6.1: Frequency of use in subject areas at Key Stage 3 (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never %</th>
<th>Hardly ever %</th>
<th>Some weeks %</th>
<th>Most weeks %</th>
<th>Every week %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Lesson</td>
<td>21.11</td>
<td>40.14</td>
<td>32.48</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>41.03</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>19.35</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>34.04</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths Lesson</td>
<td>20.69</td>
<td>45.98</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>51.62</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>43.65</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>10.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Lesson</td>
<td>31.78</td>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>24.70</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>59.43</td>
<td>24.29</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>36.15</td>
<td>34.04</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The findings for individual subjects, and the comparisons between Key Stages 2 and 3, are discussed below.

6.1 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 3 English

The majority of pupils surveyed reported never or hardly ever, using ICT to support their learning of English, either within their English lessons (61%) or within their wider school experiences (72%). This is markedly less than at Key Stage 2, where 61% used ICT some weeks or more often in their English lessons. Pupils’ use of ICT at home to support their English studies is higher than at Key Stage 2, with 34% reporting use some weeks and 22% most or every week. This finding has a significant bearing on the discussion of the impact of pupils’ ICT use on attainment which follows. Clearly, any effects identified are likely to be as much a result of home use as of use in lessons.

6.2 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 3 mathematics

Key Stage 3 pupils report using ICT at school less often in mathematics, with 67% never or hardly ever using it. Again, this is markedly less than the level of reported use at Key Stage 2 (52% never or hardly ever). Some 28% reported using ICT at home for mathematics work with 44% never using it at home. Again, the general lack of appropriate software and applications in the home may explain this finding. Such a pattern of home use would mirror the findings reported in Young People and ICT where 82% of the 554 secondary pupils consulted reported using word processing or desktop publishing at home in comparison with 39% using databases or spreadsheets.

6.3 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 3 science

Science is the only subject where Key Stage 3 pupils report a higher level of use than at Key Stage 2, with 31% using it at least some weeks in lessons in comparison with 24% at Key Stage 2. Slightly more pupils report using ICT at home for science work than for mathematics, but they use it less often. Again, the level of use at home is less than for English. This may reflect less overall teaching of science than mathematics and the less specialised nature of the material available at home. For example, CD-ROM encyclopaedias and science-based Internet sites offer many opportunities for out-of-school research activities, whereas mathematical applications such as spreadsheets demand a higher level of ICT skill. The variation of use of ICT at home will be discussed in further publications in this series.

6.4 Internet use by subject area at Key Stage 3

Figure 6.1 identifies the percentage of pupils who stated they had used the Internet in English, mathematics and science, in the home, the subject lessons, and the school in general.

Internet use was less frequent at Key Stage 3 than at Key Stage 2 in English and mathematics. For example, 43% report having used the Internet in English lessons at Key Stage 3 in comparison with over 54% at Key Stage 2. Use was more frequent in English and mathematics.
subject lessons than it was at home. However, 41% report using the Internet at home as part of their science work, higher than for any other subject at Key Stage 3 or 2. Use of e-mail at home to support school learning was also less marked than at Key Stage 2: 15% of the Key Stage 3 pupils have used e-mail to support their mathematics learning at home (21% at KS2); 12% have used e-mail at home to help them with their English work (19% KS2). Again, it must be stressed that these figures represent a particular point in time, and they will rapidly become out-of-date.

Section 7 – Relative gain for high ICT users versus low ICT users in English, mathematics and science at Key Stage 3

This section begins by exploring the general relationship between pupils’ use of ICT and their performance in the Key Stage 3 tests. As described in the introduction, the relationship explored is that between pupils’ level of use of ICT in English, mathematics and science, and their relative gain scores in each subject, that is how their actual performance compared with their predicted performance.

Figure 7.1 shows how the relative gain scores of the group of Key Stage 3 pupils characterised as high ICT users compare with low ICT users in each of the three subjects. The effects are less striking than at Key Stage 2. Figure 7.1: Mean relative gain at Key Stage 3 for high ICT users versus low ICT users (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

As Figure 7.1 illustrates, in all three subjects the pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users. As with the equivalent graph for Key Stage 2, the numbers on the scale on the left of the

---

Pupils were allocated to one of two groups, ‘High ICT’ or ‘Low ICT’, according to whether the extent of their ICT usage fell above or below a cut-off point based on the median score for that subject at that Key Stage. Further explanation of the methods used in the ImpaCT2 study can be found in Appendix 1 and in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).
graph relate to the average advantage gained by each group, that is, the average difference between how the pupils were expected to achieve and what they actually did achieve in National Tests at Key Stage 3. Again, these differences are expressed in ‘standard deviations’. In Figure 7.1, the most powerful impact of ICT use can be seen to be in science. This actually represents a statistically significant (and positive) impact for high ICT use in science. (Again, this does not include use of the Internet.) Statistical significance is a way of measuring how certain we can be regarding a particular finding. So in this case, we can be fairly certain regarding the finding of a positive impact of high ICT use in science. (A further note on statistical significance can be found in Appendix 1). In mathematics and English, there is a positive association but it is not statistically significant. It should be noted that not reaching statistical significance does not mean that a result is unimportant or uninteresting. The positive nature of the effects gives further credence to the view that the observed impacts of ICT are not random fluctuations in the data.

As at Key Stage 2, it may be that considerations of differences in practice (that is, how ICT is applied in addition to how often) are needed to more fully understand these findings. Section 7.2 begins to explore these differences at an individual school level.

The mean relative gain scores across the three key stages included in this study have been standardised, for the purposes of easier analysis by the reader of the varying impact of ICT use across the key stages. This means that it is possible to look at the other graphs of this type in the report, for Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4, and compare the relative gain scores at different key stages and subjects. This is why this is the preferred method of presenting the findings.

While the use of relative gain scores seeks to create a ‘level playing field’ by comparing pupils’ achieved results with their predicted results (rather than by comparing pupil with pupil) it remains the case that some pupils will make more progress than others. Some of this may be due to ICT or other educational effects.

### Key findings at Key Stage 3

- At Key Stage 3, pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users in all three subjects; however, the effects were much less well marked than at Key Stage 2
- A statistically significant positive association between ICT and attainment in National Tests for science was found at Key Stage 3, but there were no other clear-cut associations at Key Stage 3

#### 7.1 Relative gain at Key Stage 3 in National Test marks and National Curriculum levels

It is also possible to provide a further interpretation of the relative gain scores by translating them into National Curriculum levels for each subject. National Curriculum levels measure children’s progress in each subject. Broadly, one level is thought to relate to around two years in a pupil’s development, that is, they are expected to progress by the order of 0.5 of a level per year. This way of presenting relative gains provides estimates of the actual marks associated with the performance of high and low ICT groups after taking into account differences in their initial achievement levels at Key Stage 3.

In Table 7.1 the mean relative gain scores at Key Stage 3 for high ICT users and low ICT users are expressed in terms of their level equivalents. In other words, this is what would happen if the mean relative gains for each group (high and low ICT users) in each subject were translated directly into gains in levels in Key Stage 3 National Tests. This can help to express the impact of greater ICT use. Note, however, that these can only represent approximations, because the number of marks separating levels varies from level to level, and because the clustering of marks can vary from subject to subject.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Maths</th>
<th>Science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High ICT</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ICT</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

16 More information regarding Key Stages, National Curriculum levels and National Tests can be found in the DfES publication series Learning Journey, the National Curriculum on-line web site (www.nc.uk.net) and on the DfES Parents web site (www.dfes.gov.uk/parents).
At Key Stage 3 in English, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to 0.017 of a level.

At Key Stage 3 in mathematics, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to 0.083 of a level.

At Key Stage 3 in science, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to 0.214 of a level.

Given that one level is thought to relate to around two years in a pupil’s development, a gain of 0.10 represents 10% of two years’ achievement, or 20% of one year’s achievement. If the mean relative gains identified earlier were translated directly into progress through the National Curriculum levels, high ICT use in Key Stage 3 science in particular can be seen to support a substantial acceleration in progress through these levels equivalent to 21.4% of two years’ achievement.

In Key Stage 3 mathematics the acceleration in progress is equivalent to 8.3% of two years’ achievement.

**Figure 7.2: Mean relative gain in level equivalents at KS3 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject**

This is in line with the findings reported in Becta’s *Secondary Schools of the Future* where a small negative correlation was found between schools reporting high use of ICT in English and pupils’ performance in the Key Stage 3 English tests. Further analysis by Becta uncovered a difference between those schools only using ICT in English (where the effect was negative) and those using it in a wider range of subjects (where the effect was positive).

**7.3 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 3 English**

In school QQ, where pupils were using ICT less often, but where the mean relative gain score was positive, ICT was being used to support a wide range of curriculum activities:

> "All staff use WP [word processing] software for newspaper article work and media work Y8 and Y9 [Year 8 and Year 9], Y7 [Year 7] use WP for stories, poems etc. Some Internet based research of authors’ work. Most staff [are] comfortable with web page design to present work and Internet research Y9 [Year 9]. E-mail is used for collaborative work in Y9."

*Key Stage 3 English teacher, School QQ*
In two other schools use was only partial, depending on the interest and skills of members of the English department. Altogether across all the schools the reports presented the following uses of ICT for English at Key Stage 3: revision (four), word processing/coursework (three), remedial skills building (two), research (three), Shakespeare CD-ROM (three) and e-mail for communicating with children in other schools (one).

School CC, which had the third highest level of pupil use, and, again, where the mean relative gain score was positive, provided an example of how pupils were using ICT to help them prepare speeches on contemporary issues in English in Year 9:

"Pupils in Year 9 are completing a 'Contemporary Issues' module. Their task is to write a speech about any contemporary issue and to argue a particular viewpoint connected with the issue... To gather information for their speeches the pupils spent one lesson working on the Internet looking at appropriate web sites connected with their issue. I chose ICT to support this activity because the Internet provides a wealth of resources and knowledge. It is also a good motivational tool for pupils especially boys who tend to have less enthusiasm for research. Pupils used their own user areas to access [Internet browser]. They then used search engines to find appropriate web sites. Once they found useful information/pictures to use in their speeches, they were encouraged to either note down information, transfer into [word processing software] or download certain pages. They used [word processing software] in writing up their material."

Key Stage 3 English teacher, School CC

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 3 English

Teachers in schools where pupils used ICT in English and achieved higher mean gain scores identified the following key factors in relation to the use of ICT in English at Key Stage 3:

- Higher quality outcomes encouraging greater commitment to writing tasks
- Use of e-mail to support collaborative writing
- Increased time for reflection

---

17 Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.

7.4 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 3 mathematics

As with the previous graph for English, Figure 7.4 illustrates the relationship between for ICT use and attainment on a school-by-school basis for Key Stage 3 mathematics.

While the underlying trend is stronger than for English, the marginal difference in performance between those pupils using ICT a lot and those using it a little is reflected in the small variation in relative gain scores amongst the majority of schools. Schools HH and II show marked differences from any underlying trend, both achieving the highest mean relative gain scores with less use of ICT than those at the right-hand end of the graph. Interestingly, these same two schools exhibit similar patterns in English (see earlier). School II also performs similarly in science. Given the high weighting that the results in these schools contribute to the overall statistics, further investigation is needed into the practice in these schools.

7.5 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 3 mathematics

As at Key Stage 2, ICT was used very little for teaching mathematics at Key Stage 3. Only two of the secondary teachers said that they thought ICT had a positive impact on National Tests/GCSE results in mathematics in their school. One teacher at a school achieving a positive mean relative gain score with average ICT use in mathematics said:

“Coursework can be more presentable for some pupils. The use of spreadsheets helps find number patterns and can improve pupil grades. The use of software such as [a revision site] allows pupils to concentrate their efforts on weak topics and provides instant feedback on individual progress. Confidence can be boosted. Pupils use this type of revision software extensively at home and it allows those with Internet availability to improve their potential grades. An improvement of one grade is achievable.”

Key Stage 3 mathematics teacher, School LL

At school RR, with a lower reported level of ICT use in mathematics, but, again, with a positive mean relative gain score, there was evidence of a greater commitment...
to incorporating ICT into subject work at Key Stage 3, including mathematics.

“...students work with spreadsheets for mathematical activities of optimising, and trial and improvement; in one case spreadsheets are used to investigate which of two mobile phone purchase packages is better given differing initial payments for calls and on-going charges. With the advent of the new National Strategy for Key Stage 3 the department is moving towards greater use of ICT as “a tool when appropriate” and looks forward to building on, for instance, students’ experience of using spreadsheets in Year 6. The Head of Maths has written a set of Web pages for Year 11 “to think about when they’re going to plan their revision” with hints, tips, sources of information, and another to support students in undertaking a GCSE statistics project with links to sources of statistics. These pages are available from home over the World Wide Web. Local statistical research by students is ‘validated’ using national information which is searched for on the Web.”

Key Stage 3 mathematics teacher, School RR

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 3 mathematics
Teachers in schools where pupils used ICT in mathematics and achieved higher mean gain scores identified the following key factors in relation to the use of ICT in mathematics at Key Stage 3:

• Higher quality outcomes encouraging greater commitment to coursework tasks
• Immediate feedback identifying strengths and weaknesses
• The use of revision sites out of school hours to reinforce topics and address weaknesses
• Using spreadsheets to support work on number patterns, optimising and modelling
• Use of Web-based material to structure out-of-school learning

20 Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
7.6 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 3 science

As with the previous graphs for English and mathematics, Figure 7.5 illustrates the relationship between for ICT use and attainment on a school-by-school basis for Key Stage 3 science.

The statistically significant association between pupils’ level of use of ICT in science and achievement is reflected in the general trend towards higher relative mean gain scores as one moves towards the right-hand end of the graph. Again, there is variation around this general trend. School GG achieved a low mean relative gain score in science, as it did in English and mathematics, suggesting that other factors may be influencing results in the school. Similarly, School II achieved high relative mean gain scores in all three subjects. As before, an investigation of the practice in the schools is needed.

7.7 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 3 science

The following, taken from school II (with the largest mean relative gain score in science) reveals that, while the level of use of ICT in that school is not as high as in some, the teacher had a clear sense of when and why ICT use was effective.

“They were so highly motivated that those who would have attained anyway attained better I felt, but there were one or two students who normally wouldn’t have even engaged in the lesson [who] were extremely well motivated… Those students got [good] marks in that lesson when I had struggled with them all year to get anything out of them at all. They were coming up with their own ideas and generating planning and evaluating what they were doing in a way they had never done before.”

Key Stage 3 science teacher, School II

Two teachers described wide-ranging use of ICT for science in their schools, one of whom was able to use laptops for data logging in a science lab rather than moving the pupils to the ICT suite. One teacher was enthusiastic about the use of software for modelling and testing electrical circuits (School KK), and another mentioned using spreadsheets for manipulating data and producing charts. Three others described small-scale use of ICT, by pupils for revision, research and extension work. The following is taken from School BB, where pupils are high users of ICT in science and the mean relative gain score is high.

“The department has set the target of introducing at least one investigation into every year involving some aspect of ICT. I have used data-logging, spreadsheets and word processing.”

Key Stage 3 science teacher, School BB

The remaining three appeared to make little use of ICT in science teaching at Key Stage 3. It was clear that in some cases teachers felt hindered in what they could do by lack of equipment and two of those who reported low usage went on to describe the new equipment that would soon be installed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 3 science</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teachers in schools where pupils used ICT in science and achieved higher mean gain scores identified the following key factors in relation to the use of ICT in science at Key Stage 3:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increased motivation and greater involvement in learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Higher quality outcomes encouraging greater commitment to writing tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The use of simulations and modelling software to explore specific concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The use of data-handling software for analysing, manipulating and presenting data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The use of laptops to allow data logging in the science lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use of ICT to support research skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part 4 – Impact of ICT at Key Stage 4

Section 8 – Patterns of use of ICT at Key Stage 4

Pupils’ responses to the questionnaire administered in 2001 identifying how often and where they used ICT in English, mathematics and science are summarised in the following table.

Table 8.1: Frequency of use in core subjects at Key Stage 4 (drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never %</th>
<th>Hardly ever %</th>
<th>Some weeks %</th>
<th>Most weeks %</th>
<th>Every week %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English Lesson</td>
<td>32.18</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td>22.07</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>28.13</td>
<td>17.83</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths   Lesson</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>54.45</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>68.72</td>
<td>23.18</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>58.15</td>
<td>29.78</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Lesson</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>42.77</td>
<td>27.71</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>53.61</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>17.55</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>35.20</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.1 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 4 English

ICT is little used in English at Key Stage 4. The majority of pupils surveyed reported never or hardly ever using ICT to support their learning of English, either within their English lessons (71%) or within their wider school experiences (72%). This contrasts with the finding that approximately half the sample used ICT at home to support their English studies, with one third reporting use some weeks and 20% most weeks or every week.

8.2 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 4 mathematics

The results for Key Stage 4 mathematics indicate a different pattern of use. While fewer pupils report never using ICT in mathematics (27%) compared to English (32%), the general level of use of ICT in mathematics is lower. Nearly 70% report never or hardly ever using ICT to support their learning of mathematics in school outside mathematics lessons. This contrasts with the position in English and is in line with Key Stage 4 pupils’ general school experiences. Writing tasks (for example) are far more likely to be found outside English lessons than mathematical tasks outside mathematics lessons. The level of use at home is markedly less, with well over half of the sample never using ICT in their mathematics at home. This may indicate that the software used in mathematics (including educational software and applications such as spreadsheets) is used less at home, in contrast with word processing, the major application used to support English. The general lower level of use in mathematics may lead to any observed effects being less marked than in English.

8.3 Pupils’ use of ICT in Key Stage 4 science

The level of use in science-related work at home (38.31% reporting use at least some weeks or more) is larger than for mathematics (12.08%) and less than for English (53.5%), possibly reflecting the use of ICT to write up scientific investigations and to search information sources such as CD-ROMs and encyclopaedias, both of which have made significant inroads into the home software market. Evidence from pupil diaries points to the extensive use of on-line revision sites. Further case study work is needed to clarify whether this is the case.

Key findings at Key Stage 4

- At Key Stage 4, ICT use is rare in schools, but more common at home in English and science
- ICT is used somewhat more frequently for English than it is for science at Key Stage 4, while usage in mathematics is considerably rarer

Pupils’ responses to the questions identifying how often and where they used ICT in non-core subjects are summarised in the following table.

Table 8.2: Frequency of use in non-core subjects at Key Stage 4 (drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never %</th>
<th>Hardly ever %</th>
<th>Some weeks %</th>
<th>Most weeks %</th>
<th>Every week %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History Lesson</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>55.05</td>
<td>22.73</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>29.84</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography Lesson</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>39.91</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>47.34</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>20.69</td>
<td>33.99</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFL     Lesson</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>31.67</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>68.83</td>
<td>20.35</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>48.48</td>
<td>34.63</td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&amp;T     Lesson</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>24.42</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>40.98</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>29.55</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>4.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The overall pattern of usage is similar for history and geography, with about 30% of pupils reporting use at home some weeks and some reporting usage most weeks. ICT usage in modern languages is considerably lower, both at home and at school. By contrast, teachers of design and technology use ICT quite extensively, in their lesson work (with 59% of pupils using ICT some weeks or more often), and they encourage pupils to use ICT in their homework (57% using ICT some weeks or more often). While many teachers identified technical and access issues which they felt prevented them from using ICT, some teachers also identified difficulties in adapting their teaching to incorporate the use of ICT.

“When we started [using ICT] there was much resistance from teachers [to the more independent approach] – I always want to stand in front of class and see what they are doing... but once we started seeing how much kids learned by using ICT, they were more happy to let them come into the computer rooms. It took some time for teachers here to accept that kids would be chatting and walking round the computer room, which would not be acceptable in a normal classroom. But that is how kids learn in IT and teachers now manage that situation well. They know when it is focused chat and when just socialising.”

Key Stage 4 classroom teacher, School QQ

The need to focus on preparation for examinations (in which ICT is not used) was also cited as another reason for not using ICT at Key Stage 4.

8.4 Internet use by subject area at Key Stage 4

The pattern for Internet use largely matches that for ICT in general at this key stage, with most use in design and technology and least in mathematics and MFL. In addition, there appears to be less use of the Internet in core subjects at Key Stage 4 than at Key Stages 2 or 3. Use of applications such as e-mail appears to be equally low.

It is interesting to note the relatively high frequencies of ‘Yes’ responses for Internet use in geography, history and design and technology, which suggests that a significant number of teachers and pupils have found the Internet useful in these subjects. Reasons for the relatively low level of use include the disparity in pupils’ home access to the Internet. This has restricted the way in which some teachers direct pupils to use computers to support their homework – so computer use becomes optional:

“I do set homework but cannot insist on use of Internet because not all children have a computer at home. Those who do bring in examples then give the sites to children to look up at school.”

Key Stage 4 classroom teacher, School UU

These issues are explored in the discussions of use in individual subjects later on in this report.
Section 9 – Relative gain for high ICT users versus low ICT users in English, mathematics, science, geography, history, MFL and D&T at Key Stage 4

This section begins by exploring the general relationship between pupils’ use of ICT and their performance at GCSE. As described in the introduction, the relationship explored is that between pupils’ level of use of ICT and their relative gain scores in each subject, that is, how their actual performance compared with their predicted performance.

The following graph (Figure 9.1) shows how the relative gain scores of the group of Key Stage 4 pupils characterised as high ICT users compare with low ICT users in each of the GCSE subjects investigated. The effects are less striking than at Key Stage 2 and more striking than at Key Stage 3.

As Figure 9.1 illustrates, in all of the subjects investigated the pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users.

As with the equivalent graphs for Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3, the numbers on the scale on the left of the graph relate to the average advantage gained by each group, that is, the average difference between what the pupils were expected to achieve and what they actually did achieve in National Tests (GCSEs) at Key Stage 4. Again, these differences are expressed in ‘standard deviations’.

In Figure 9.1, the differences are slight and not statistically significant for English, mathematics and history. The differences in performance are much more considerable for science and for geography (though the latter just failed to reach statistical significance, the number of pupils involved being less for non-core subjects than it is for core subjects). Statistical significance is a way of measuring how certain we can be regarding a particular finding. So in this case, we can be fairly certain regarding the finding of a positive impact of high ICT use in science. (A further note on statistical significance can be found in Appendix 1).

The greatest difference in mean performance between high ICT and low ICT pupils is found in modern foreign languages, despite the fact that overall usage in this subject was quite low.

In the case of design and technology, the subject with the highest reported level of use of ICT, differences in favour of higher ICT levels were found to be statistically significant in all analyses.

---

21 Pupils were allocated to one of two groups, ‘High ICT’ versus ‘Low ICT’, according to whether the extent of their ICT use fell above or below a cut-off point based on the median score for that subject at that key stage. Further explanation can be found in Appendix 1, and in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).
Again, it may be thought that the lack of statistical significance in some subjects implies that pupils’ use of ICT in mathematics and English has no effect on their performance in those subjects. However, the non-statistically significant effects are all positive, giving some credence to the view that the positive effects observed are not random fluctuations in the data.

That the most significant associations were found in science at Key Stage 4 may well be a reflection of the fact that science teachers in general have been developing materials and procedures longer than in other curriculum areas and have found ways of capitalising on the potential of the medium. Again, in science, there is a clear alignment between the content of the ICT and the content of the examination. The same is true of design and technology and of modern foreign languages. In some other subjects, and especially in English in the secondary school, there is no such correspondence between the content of the ICT used in English (which was often related to the presentation or publication of work) and the content of the examination. The skills that pupils are learning in becoming more expert at presenting their work effectively on the computer are not tested in Key Stage 3 National Tests, or at GCSE.

Evidence from lesson observations pointed to a variety of approaches to integrating ICT within subject teaching. There were many examples of lessons observed in which ICT featured as integral to a subject-based session so that, in one way or another, ICT served to enhance the learning process. In contrast to these, there were other lessons that were purportedly curriculum focused, but where most of the ICT use could be described as skill-oriented. That is, while pupils’ ICT skill base may have been extended (and in some cases pupils were simply rehearsing already well practised abilities) it did not further those pupils’ subject knowledge or understanding. Section 9.2 onwards begins to explore differences in practice at an individual school level in a way that may illuminate the findings.

A consideration of the overall and individual subject relative gain scores (see Figures 9.1 and 9.3 to 9.9), indicates that students generally performed well at GCSE as indicated by the high proportion of positive relative gain scores, with the possible exception of science. This contrasts with the patterns and results for Key Stages 2 and 3 where a more even balance of positive and negative scores occur. In the case of Key Stage 4 English and mathematics, only three of the twenty school scores are negative, four in the case of geography and design and technology. In the case of science the significant finding in favour of high ICT use schools was between two sets of positive scores.

Key Stage 4 performance has been assessed using YELLIS\(^22\), while PIPs was used at Key Stage 2, and attainment predicted from Key Stage 2 results in the case of Key Stage 3. There is evidence to support the view that a high proportion of students in the schools in the Key Stage 4 ImpaCT2 sample appear to be achieving above average standards for YELLIS pupils – hence the reason for the generally positive scores. This is an aspect of the nature of the ImpaCT2 sample as a whole, and may have been the result of teachers avoiding giving questionnaires to some of their weaker pupils. Across the ImpaCT2 secondary school sample as a whole, mean school achievement on the YELLIS ‘baseline’ tests was 102 – very close to the national average.

The mean relative gain scores across the three key stages included in this study have been standardised, for the purposes of easier analysis by the reader of the varying impact of ICT use across the key stages. This means that it is possible to look at the other graphs of this type in the report, for Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 3, and compare the relative gain scores at different key stages and subjects. This is why this is the preferred method of presenting the findings.

While the use of relative gain scores seeks to create a ‘level playing field’ by comparing pupils’ achieved results with their predicted results (rather than by comparing pupil with pupil) it remains the case that some pupils will make more progress than others. Some of this may be due to ICT or other educational effects.

\(^{22}\) PIPs and YELLIS are part of a family of information systems offered by the Curriculum, Evaluation and Management Centre at the University of Durham. The glossary in Appendix 2 provides further explanation.
Key findings at Key Stage 4

- At Key Stage 4, pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, low ICT users in all subjects.
- The effects at Key Stage 4 were less well marked than at Key Stage 2 and more marked than at Key Stage 3.
- At Key Stage 4, there was a statistically significant positive association between ICT and GCSE science, and ICT and GCSE design and technology.
- There were also strong indications of a positive association in GCSE modern foreign languages (MFL) at Key Stage 4, and some indications of a positive association in GCSE geography, although neither reached statistical significance.
- In English, mathematics and history at Key Stage 4 the small positive differences found were not statistically significant.

9.1 Relative gain at Key Stage 4 in GCSE grades

It is also possible to provide a further interpretation of the relative gain scores by translating them into GCSE grades for each subject. These provide estimates of the actual grades associated with the performance of high and low ICT groups after taking into account differences in their initial achievement levels at Key Stage 4.

In Table 9.1 the mean relative gain scores at Key Stage 4 for high ICT users and low ICT users are expressed in terms of their grade equivalents. In other words, this is what would happen if the mean relative gains for each group (high and low ICT users) in each subject were translated directly into grade scores at Key Stage 4 (GCSEs). This can help to express the impact of greater ICT use.

In Table 9.1 the numbers represent grades in the following way:

- 8 = Grade A*
- 7 = Grade A
- 6 = Grade B
- 5 = Grade C
- 4 = Grade D, and so on.

Table 9.1: Mean relative gain in grade equivalents at Key Stage 4 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>High ICT</th>
<th>Low ICT</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geog</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFL</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&amp;T</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9.1: Mean relative gain in grade equivalents at Key Stage 4 for high ICT users versus low ICT users by subject (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001).
At Key Stage 4 in English, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.13 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in mathematics, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.02 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in science, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.56 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in geography, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.37 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in history, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.03 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in modern foreign languages, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.82 of a GCSE grade.

At Key Stage 4 in design and technology, the difference in test performance between high and low ICT groups was equivalent to a score of 0.41 of a GCSE grade.

As noted before, in all subjects investigated the pupils characterised as high ICT users outperformed, on average, the low ICT users. Figure 9.2 illustrates these grade equivalents in graphical form.

It is important to note, however, that the preferred way of analysing the impact of high ICT use remains the one presented at the start of section 9 – the graph of relative gain scores. This is because this data has been standardised, and so allows for comparison between the various key stages and subjects included in this study.

9.2 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 English

As in the previous parts of the report concerning Key Stages 2 and 3, the next set of graphs illustrate the relationship between ICT use and attainment on a school-by-school basis for subjects at Key Stage 4. The schools have been ranged along the horizontal axis from the lowest average ICT score for use of ICT in the subject concerned (left of the graph) to the highest (right of the graph). The vertical scale shows mean relative gain scores. Each school contributes a single column, the height of which corresponds to the mean relative gain for that school in that subject at Key Stage 4. We start with Key Stage 4 English.

The lack of any clear association between the levels of pupils’ use of ICT in English and performance at GCSE can be seen in the graph, where (except in the extreme cases of the schools where pupils used ICT the least and most) the ‘staircase effect’ is absent. However, all bar one of the top twelve schools for ICT use in English

23 Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
achieved positive mean relative gain scores. In many schools the reported level of pupil use of ICT appears to be out of step with the overall reported level of use of ICT in the school. School CC, for example, which achieved the second highest mean relative gain score is further towards the left of the graph than might be expected given the overall high level of ICT use in the school. Similarly, School OO (which had a negative mean gain score) is further towards the right than might be expected. The ‘anomalous’ position of these schools may simply indicate a lack of connection between pupils’ use of ICT in English and their results at GCSE. However, it may point towards the need to consider the overall contributions of pupils’ experiences to their GCSE results, especially in a subject such as English.

9.3 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 English

Overall, there appeared to be a considerable reduction in the use of ICT for English teaching at Key Stage 4. The reports indicated that there was a range of uses in two schools and that it was either non-existent or limited in all the others. School AA (which reported the highest level of pupil use in English and achieved the largest mean relative gain score) reported the following.

“The school has lots of awards such as Beacon status and the school achievement status for excellence so I think part of it is down to ethos, teaching, etc. Prior to Year 11 the English department spent one lesson with each class focussing on how to use the Internet for research. In Year 11 they use ICT to research a topic give a presentation and answer questions on it (speaking and listening assessment), research authors (critical work), create … presentations on particular authors, etc.”

Study research team field notes, School AA

In School WW (where pupils report little use of ICT in English, but which achieved a positive mean relative gain score in GCSE English), a Key Stage 4 teacher described practice at an early stage of development.

“Used for coursework – word processing allows students to redraft text. They tend to do this at home. We have just bought an interactive CD-ROM for poetry teaching.”

Key Stage 4 English teacher, School WW

From the group as a whole, four teachers said that CD-ROMs were used for the study of set texts including poetry and Shakespeare, six said ICT was used for the production of coursework, two said that there was some use of the Internet by “some groups”, and four said that pupils made personal use of ICT for revision. Three teachers said their schools made little use of ICT for English at Key Stage 4, of whom two specified that this was because of examination preparation. When asked if they felt that ICT had an impact on attainment at Key Stage 4, only one gave an enthusiastic and positive “yes”, two were unable to say, six said it had no or “little” impact, and four said that it improved the presentation of coursework. It was clear that covering the syllabus and preparing for the examination was a very significant constraint on use of ICT in English. Only one teacher, who had already said the school made limited use of ICT for English, noted increased use during preparation for examinations. The other teachers all said that there was no time to use ICT, it did not directly contribute to teaching for the exam and was only used by pupils for coursework, in their own time, often at home.

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 4 English

Any conclusions for Key Stage 4 English must be tentative because of the lower level of ICT use and lack of statistical significance in the ImpaCT2 findings.

• Focused teaching of the use of the Internet for research
• Use of ICT at home for coursework

9.4 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 mathematics

As with English, the lack of any clear-cut link between pupils’ use of ICT and performance in GCSE mathematics is reflected in Figure 9.4. The principal difference is between mean gains for the highest ICT levels at the right of the diagram and the remaining schools, with less to discriminate between moderate levels at the centre and lower levels at the left, possibly indicating a threshold effect. Again, the pattern of level of use in mathematics does not always reflect the overall levels of use in the schools.
9.5 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 mathematics

At Key Stage 4, three of the secondary schools said that the use of ICT for course work and to access revision sites was an integral and important part of pupils’ work. However, the overwhelming response was that, adding to the disappointing picture of little use lower down the school, preparations for GCSE often constrained the use of ICT for teaching and learning in mathematics. One possible reason for this, given by at least one school, was levels of equipment. School EE (which achieved positive mean relative gain scores and where pupils used ICT relatively often in mathematics) had moved towards clustered resources to provide sufficient access for pupils.

“The Maths department has a suite of 10 networked machines; the Maths department also has a digital whiteboard and projector, and a portable laptop with projector. The students are encouraged to use ICT in these areas and support is given by the ICT department in organisation and delivery. All students across the school are encouraged to use Internet resource during revision, advice and links are given on the Intranet which is run by the Head of ICT.”

Key Stage 4 mathematics teacher, School EE

9.6 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 science

The statistical significance of the association between pupils’ use of ICT in science and performance at GCSE is apparent in the stepwise trend in the graph, with a concentration of high mean gains to the right of the diagram. Schools AA and II show relatively high levels of ICT use and mean relative gain scores in all three subjects, and the practice in these two schools in particular is worthy of further investigation.
Figure 9.5: Mean relative gain for schools in order of ICT usage for Key Stage 4 science from low (left) to high (right) (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.

Figure 9.6: Mean relative gain for schools in order of ICT usage for Key Stage 4 geography from low (left) to high (right) (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
9.7 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 science

At Key Stage 4, three teachers described wide-ranging uses of ICT for teaching science in their schools. One continued to be enthusiastic about using focused simulations in dedicated lessons, and three teachers said ICT was a valuable resource for pupils’ independent study for research, revision and/or coursework:

“Good for researching topics for health studies GCSE which needs up-to-date information in year 10.”

Key Stage 4 science teacher, School CC

In response to the more specific question about whether or not ICT had an impact on pupils’ attainment in science at Key Stage 4 in their schools, three responded positively, one saying:

“Coursework can be more presentable for some pupils. The use of software such as [revision site] allows pupils to concentrate their efforts on weak topics and provides instant feedback on individual progress. Confidence can be boosted. Pupils use this type of revision software extensively at home and that allows those with Internet availability to improve their potential grades. An improvement of one grade is achievable.”

Key Stage 4 science teacher, School LL

Three schools said ICT helped to improve coursework. One felt that the most able benefited significantly more than other pupils, although another said that “instant feedback” with interactive software and revision materials was a strong motivator. Four said that ICT in science had little or no impact on attainment at Key Stage 4, although one added that this “varies greatly between staff”, and another believed that ICT would have much greater impact on pupils’ attainment once the school’s new equipment arrived and they were equipped with “satellite” access facilities.

### Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 4 science

Teachers identified the following key factors for teaching and learning using ICT in science at Key Stage 4:
- Use of the Internet for revision and research where topics require up-to-date information
- ICT providing instant feedback on strengths and weaknesses
- Use of simulations
- Resources located in subject departments

Figure 9.7: Mean relative gain for schools in order of ICT usage for Key Stage 4 history from low (left) to high (right) (ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)
9.8 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 geography

The overall positive trend from left to right indicates the strength of the association between level of ICT use and performance in GCSE geography, which just falls short of statistical significance, possibly because of the smaller sample size. (Fewer pupils take GCSEs in the Foundation as opposed to the Core subjects.) Again, the patterns of use do not follow the overall levels of use in the school. Schools AA and BB achieve positive mean gain scores while their positions on the graph indicate that the level of use in geography was relatively less than the overall level of use in those schools.

9.9 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 geography

School GG (where the pupils’ reported level of use of ICT in geography was high and which achieved the highest mean relative gain score) identified a number of specific uses for ICT in geography. These included:

- the preparation for the decision-making exercise exam
- the research and presentation of the coursework units
- revision for the final exam paper.

“To prepare for the decision making exercise on national parks students used a number of web sites for research. Many of the students word-processed part, if not all, of the work presented for their coursework units. Some produced graphs of the data they collected using ICT. In preparation for the Land Use in [local town] unit the city web site was used. Last year we used the [online] revision course. Many of the students logged on to the web site and worked through the revision exercises. [A number of web sites aimed at tourists are used].”

Key Stage 4 geography teacher, School GG

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 4 geography

Teachers identified the following key factors for teaching and learning using ICT in geography at Key Stage 4:

- Use of the Internet for research where topics require access to authentic on-line resources
- Use of word processing, data handling and graphing packages in coursework
- The use of revision sites for examination preparation

Figure 9.8: Mean relative gain for schools in order of ICT usage for Key Stage 4 modern foreign languages from low (left) to high (right)

(ICT use data drawn from a total of 700 questionnaires administered during 2001)

---

27 Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.

28 Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
9.10 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 history

The weakness of any relationship between level of ICT use and performance in GCSE history is reflected in the lack of any clear trend from left to right. Again, the levels of use in history teaching are not in line with the overall levels of use in the schools in the sample. For example, pupils in School NN reported making the highest level of use of ICT in their history work. Again, School AA (where pupils’ reported use of ICT was the highest overall, and where use in history was the second highest) achieved a positive mean relative gain score.

9.11 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 history

Practice varied in history teaching. For example, presentation software was being used in a history lesson, where students’ understanding of a ‘presentation’ clearly referred to the software itself, rather than to the process of researching for and developing curriculum material which would form the basis of an exposition to the rest of the class – the stated purpose of the lesson. Again, School GG (where the pupils’ reported level of use of ICT in history was high and which achieved the highest mean relative gain score) identified a number of specific uses for ICT in history. These included:

- sharing lesson ideas and resources with other teachers in other schools
- lesson preparation
- revision lessons using on-line resources or specialist revision sites
- contribute to a wider variety of lessons.

“Only fair to say last year seems a bit like the Dark Ages compared to what we have been able to do this year.”

Key Stage 4 history teacher, School GG

9.12 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 modern foreign languages

The greatest differences in mean performance between high ICT using pupils and low ICT using pupils is found

---

Where a school has been plotted on the graph but no relative gain score is shown, this is because the study team was not able to obtain the value-added data for this subject.
in MFL, despite the fact that overall levels of use were low. A clear trend from low ICT to high ICT can be seen in the graph. School AA, where reported levels of use in MFL and more generally across the school, has the highest mean relative gain scores and the general difference in performance between high and low ICT schools is apparent. Given the relative size of the mean difference, and the difficulty of drawing clear conclusions because of the small sample and low level of use, it is suggested that teachers’ and pupils’ use of ICT in MFL in particular warrants further exploration.

9.13 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 modern foreign languages

Evidence from case study visits and interviews with teachers identified a number of teachers who had established a link with a school abroad, and it seems likely that this proved a particularly effective strategy. A secondary MFL teacher spoke of the ‘authentic’ nature of contact with students in other parts of the world, especially as this involved communicating directly with native speakers of the language being learned.

9.14 Relationship between ICT use and attainment in Key Stage 4 design and technology

The statistically significant association between pupils’ use of ICT in design and technology and their performance at GCSE is reflected in increased mean relative gain scores in schools towards the right-hand end of the graph. As with other subjects, a number of schools appear to be out of line with any underlying trend. Interestingly, Schools AA, BB and CC (where their positions on the graph indicate that pupils’ use of ICT in this subject is less than might be expected given the overall level of ICT use) all achieved positive mean relative gain scores, with School BB achieving the highest in the sample. Similarly, School Z1 (where the overall use of ICT was low, but where ICT was being used in design and technology) achieved a negative gain score. This suggests a subtle interplay between whole-school ICT use and use in individual subjects such as design and technology. However, further analysis would be needed to determine whether this is truly the case.

9.15 Links to other strands of the study regarding Key Stage 4 design and technology

A design and technology teacher in School HH (with the highest level of pupil use of ICT in the subject and a positive mean relative gain score at GCSE) described a variety of uses for ICT in design and technology:

“ICT was used mainly in Electronics, Graphics and Product Design. In electronics the main use was a program which allows pupils to design and test electronic circuits in a very visual way. This is not only of great benefit to weaker pupils who find the subject very hard, but also stronger pupils who can experiment to their hearts’ content without spending a penny on components.

In graphics and product design we have used the programs [CAD package] and [CAD package] as well as the more usual generic software. There are two quite new teachers in the department who have a very strong background in graphics and design and they have developed many schemes of work as well as one of them becoming a trained user of Pro-desktop (a national scheme) and using it extensively to introduce 3D design work.”

Key Stage 4 design and technology teacher, School HH

A teacher in School BB (which achieved the highest mean relative gain score at GCSE design and technology) described the contribution of ICT to the various stages of a GCSE design and technology project in which the students were required to plan and build a three-dimensional model. The use of the Internet was said to ‘bring a new dimension’ to the research phase of the process, extending the relatively limited and dated reference materials available in the school. By using software that allowed them to test out various aspects of their design, the students managed to reduce the time typically given to this aspect of the process. At the same time, they could test out their ideas in a flexible way, all of which would otherwise have been beyond them because of limitations in skills or shortage of materials, or would have been impractical in a busy GCSE timetable.
“…they are not limited by their own manipulative skills… they can play around with different things, like finish, texture, that they couldn’t do [in a real situation]. So not only does this reduce workload, but it enhances their ability to come up with an answer to that particular problem.”

Key Stage 4 design and technology teacher, School BB

This account from School EE (another high user of ICT achieving positive mean relative gain scores) describes the advantages of a dedicated ICT provision and close links with the head of ICT:

“The D&T department has a suite of 15 networked machines. The students are encouraged to use ICT in these areas and support is given by the ICT department in organisation and delivery. All students across the school are encouraged to use Internet resources during revision, advice and links are given on the intranet which is run by the Head of ICT.”

Key Stage 4 design and technology teacher, School EE

Summary for Key Stage 4 geography, history, modern foreign languages, and design and technology

The graphs for all four subjects provide some indication of higher gains columns concentrated at the right of these graphs, indicating something of a positive ‘ICT effect’. However, the differences are least marked for history. In the case of design and technology, differences in favour of higher ICT levels were found to be statistically significant in all analyses and just short of significance for geography and modern foreign languages.

Some schools differ from this general trend, and more specific investigation of the particular practices and uses of ICT in these schools would be necessary in order to understand more clearly how the use of ICT in the classroom relates to specific aspects of attainment and achievement.

Issues for teaching and learning at Key Stage 4 design and technology

Teachers identified the following key factors for teaching and learning using ICT in design and technology at Key Stage 4:

• Use of the computer models to aid exploration and visualisation
• The use of CAD packages for designing to model outcomes and save time
• The use of the Internet to research using authentic material
• The value of a dedicated network and cross-departmental working
• The use of revision sites for examination preparation
Part 5 – Conclusions and Appendices

Concluding remarks

The principal outcome of this survey is clear and by no means entirely expected: ICT has been shown to be positively associated with improvement in subject-based learning in several areas. That contribution was statistically significant though not large. In none of the comparisons made between pupils’ expected and actual scores in National Tests or GCSEs was there a statistically significant advantage to groups with lower ICT use. This is in some contrast to the findings of certain previous related enquiries, most notably the several evaluations of Integrated Learning Systems (ILS) published in the mid-to-late 1990s. Equally, there were not as clear a set of outcomes to the earlier ImpaCT enquiry conducted between 1989 and 1992, carried out before the more recent developments of networked technologies and their growing availability in schools.

The observations made as part of this study took place during the early-mid period of the National Grid for Learning (NGfL) Programme – now the ICT in Schools Programme – during which the nature of ICT in schools, in terms of both provision and practice, have been developing.

It should be emphasised that the proportion of lessons involving ICT in the ImpaCT2 sample was generally low over the period concerned. This is likely to rise as teachers gain in knowledge and experience, as equipment is made available in more classrooms and as there are improvements in variety of software available, both on the Internet and on CD-ROM.

Since 1998, when the Government published its proposals to develop a National Grid for Learning, schools and other institutions have made considerable progress in their use of ICT to support teaching and learning and to improve the efficiency of school management. However, while progress towards these goals has been significant and can rightly be celebrated, it is only the beginning of an ongoing transformation that over time will deliver exciting new opportunities in school, at home and in the community – for individuals to personalise their learning and realise their potential. These opportunities will become a reality as ICT becomes firmly embedded in all aspects of school life rather than as an ‘optional extra’.

While the schools involved in the ImpaCT2 study do not necessarily form a representative sample of schools in England, the statistical sections of the study have been objective and the sampling of schools and of pupils has been careful. This is to suggest that the results might have been different. Indeed they did differ at different key stages and subject areas. It should be noted that these discrepancies cannot easily be accounted for by a general so-called ‘halo effect’ – that is to say: ‘good pupils in good schools work better all round, and – incidentally – spend more time on ICT’. It was also clear, from visits to schools and from the various methods used to find out the attitudes of pupils, that ICT was generally popular. That finding was perhaps to be expected. What was not anticipated was that for the most part pupils were familiar with handling computers and were not intimidated by the demands of the applications used. No doubt this is in part due to the increasing numbers of computers in homes, and in part to the effectiveness of the ICT curriculum itself in Key Stages 1 and 2 (Years 1 to 5 in particular).

In the words of more than one teacher: ‘ICT is there; it’s here to stay; it’s [just] a tool’. The implication is that it is useful, as tools are, but also that its potential is quite limited. That second implication is incorrect, even though ICT may not work miracles. However, it should be noted that this study was not designed to measure these other benefits.

There is evidence that, taken as a whole, ICT can exert a positive influence on learning, though the amount may vary from subject to subject as well as between key stages, no doubt in part reflecting factors such as the expertise of teaching staff, problems of accessing the best material for each subject at the required level, and the quality of ICT materials that are available.

---


Appendix 1: ImpaCT2 Research Methodology

The ImpaCT2 study involved 60 schools. Some 30 primary schools and 25 secondary schools were selected using various criteria including:

1. a recent (post 1996) Ofsted report and the Ofsted rating of the ICT resources in an inspected school, based on a rating on a scale of 1 (High) to 7 (Low)

2. participation in the PIPs or YELLIS projects, that aim to show ‘value added’, using a measure of initial achievement based on tests completed two years prior to the date set for the final criterion tests (National Tests or GCSEs in June 2001)

3. demographic indicators used to ensure that the sample would be representative of the population of schools in the country.

In addition to the schools in this section, the study included five Special schools. These were not required to participate in this part of the enquiry.

Samples of approximately 20 pupils were selected from each primary school, representing a range of ability at Key Stage 2. Two similar samples were formed for every secondary school, from among pupils in Key Stages 3 and 4 respectively. Within these samples, data were available on initial achievement, and every pupil completed a three-part questionnaire on their ICT experience on two occasions separated by a year (Summer 2000 and Summer 2001). The attainment criterion was derived from National Tests taken in June 2001 (Key Stages 2 and 3) and GCSE in the same month (Key Stage 4). Taken together, the three sets of data (initial achievement, ICT experience and final attainment) provided the information that would be necessary for the analysis on the impact of ICT.

The initial achievement data for the primary sample were obtained from PIPS tests administered in autumn 1999. At Key Stage 4, these were based on YELLIS scores at the same period. At Key Stage 3, parallel scores were not available for Key Stage 3 (the schools concerned were the same as those involved for Key Stage 4) and accordingly the scores for National Tests at Key Stage 2 were adopted as the measure of initial achievement.
ICT experience

As has been noted, all pupils in the sample completed a questionnaire in July 2000 and again in July 2001. These questionnaires related to their ICT experience over the immediately preceding 12 months and the results of the second were used to calculate estimated ICT experience during the critical period, this being the year leading up to the assessment.

The questionnaire included a section on the extent to which the computer had been used for learning within a specified subject area in each of three settings: during lesson time; outside lesson time but within school; and outside school including home use.

The question was repeated for each relevant subject to take account of variation in computer usage in different subject areas. Answers to these questions were given on a 5-point scale from “Never” to “Most weeks”, thereby allowing conversion to a 5-point score for each context. These were then averaged for each subject area.

To find out the effect of ICT in any given subject within the population as a whole, pupils were allocated to one of two groups, ‘High ICT’ versus ‘Low ICT’, according to whether their score fell above or below a cut-off point based on the median score for that subject at that key stage.

Another set of questions dealt with type of computer usage with options including word processing, access to the Internet and use of e-mail, and allowed for completion of more than one cell as appropriate. However, the data for Internet usage should be interpreted with caution, as they represent yes/no responses for Internet usage and do not discriminate for frequency.

Mean ICT levels for schools

To begin with, it was envisaged that between-school comparisons would be based on the original selection process, that is the Ofsted categorisations. However, the pace of change in ICT provision and use had been rapid over the intervening period and it was found that such a categorisation corresponded poorly with ongoing observation. An attempt at categorisation by project researchers proved little more fruitful. It was therefore decided to use mean subject-related ICT scores (on the above 5-point scale) as the measure of ICT level for each subject separately within each school. For certain statistical calculations, the schools were then grouped (again separately for each subject and key stage) as High, Intermediate or Low in ICT provision.

Thus none of the comparisons rests on some more or less arbitrary dichotomy of schools on the basis of overall ICT.

Initial achievement and relative gain

Because the schools in the sample vary in their catchment areas and hence in the opportunities afforded by the home and neighbourhood, and because similar considerations apply to pupils within schools, it would have been inappropriate to accept raw National Tests and GCSE scores as criteria of final performance. There is a large body of evidence indicating that relative gain can be calculated to measure the progress of individuals and groups over a period by adjusting final raw scores to take into account the score that might be ’expected” on the basis of initial achievement on one or more relevant tests. Such calculation goes a long way to producing a level playing-field for comparison. Thus the following sub-section is based on comparisons of relative gain scores between groups of schools and of pupils based on their ICT provision and experience.

Comparison of these baseline scores with the final grades of pupils in National Tests or GCSEs taken in the summer of 2001 allowed the calculation of an expected score for every pupil. The difference of his or her actual result from that ’expected’ is taken as a measure of ‘relative gain’.

A relative gain score of zero indicates that a pupil’s National Test score was as predicted by the baseline test, a positive relative gain score indicates that the pupil had exceeded expectations, a negative one that the pupil had not achieved the result expected. The size of a pupil’s relative gain gives an indication of how that pupil performed compared to other pupils starting from the same baseline position. For example, a pupil who achieved a result one standard deviation higher than the mean for the population of pupils starting from the same position would achieve a relative gain score of +1.

(Standard deviation is a statistical term for the average difference from the mean for a group of results.)

In Figure 1.A, Pupil A has a higher test score than Pupil B, but performed worse than expected, and so has a negative relative gain score. Pupil B, by contrast, performed better than expected and so has a positive relative gain score.

Mean relative gain scores

Pupils were grouped into categories of high and low ICT users based on their level of use of ICT in a particular subject. The mean of the relative gain scores was calculated in each National Test and GCSE. These could then be compared.
The use of standard deviations as the measure of relative gain provides a standardised method of making comparisons between subjects, which allows for differences of spread of attainment in these subjects.

The mean relative gain scores across the three key stages included in this study have been standardised, for the purposes of easier analysis by the reader of the varying impact of ICT use across the key stages. The figures reporting mean relative gain by key stage and subject have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of 1.

What is statistical significance?

In order to begin to understand whether there is some kind of association between the use of ICT and performance in National Tests or GCSEs, it is necessary to apply test of ‘statistical significance.’ Statistical significance is a measure of how confident it is possible to be that an observed difference between two or more groups can be attributed to something other than chance. The most commonly encountered way of reporting statistical significance is called the ‘p value’. This is the probability that the difference(s) observed between two or more groups in a study would have occurred if there were no differences between the groups other than those created by chance.

The differences discussed in this report were statistically significant at the .05 level or lower. This means that a difference equal to or larger than the observed difference is likely to occur less than five times in 100 by chance alone. Further detail about the tests used for statistical significance and the results can be found in the full ImpaCT2 report (forthcoming).
project. PIPS is one of a family of information systems offered by the Curriculum, Evaluation and Management Centre (CEM Centre) at the University of Durham. PIPS gathers data on individual pupils as they move through the primary sector. This is processed at Durham and the results passed back to schools, allowing them to look objectively at the progress and attitudes of individual pupils, but also the performance of the school compared to thousands of others. Further information is available at www.cem.dur.ac.uk.

**YELLIS** – The Year Eleven Information System is a ‘value-added’ information system that provides a wide range of performance indicators for pupils aged 14-16. The value-added approach allows for comparisons between pupils participating in the YELLIS project. As with PIPS, this is managed by the Curriculum, Evaluation and Management Centre (CEM Centre) at the University of Durham. Further information is available at www.cem.dur.ac.uk.
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